DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20554

RECEIVED
APR 2 8 1997.

In the Matter of:

•

:

OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Application by SBC Communications, Inc.

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, and Southwestern Bell Communications Services,

Inc. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Long Distance : for Provision of In-Region InterLATA :

Services in Oklahoma

CC Docket No. 97-121

MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION FOR LOCAL TELECOMMMUNICATIONS SERVICES' MOTION TO DISMISS AND REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS

In response to the Motion to Dismiss and Request for Sanctions of the Association for Local Telecommunications Services ("ALTS")¹, MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI") agrees with ALTS that Southwestern Bell ("SWBT") improperly relies on Track B in its application for approval to provide in-region interLATA service in Oklahoma because competing providers have requested interconnection. Based on information provided by ALTS, it further appears that there is no residential service currently being provided by competitors in Oklahoma, thereby foreclosing the use of Track A. The requirements of Track A were fashioned by Congress to prevent in-region entry prior to the full implementation of the

No. of Copies rec'd 0+6
List A B C D E

The Commission's Public Notice, DA 97-864 (April 23, 1997).

competitive checklist and the provision of service to residential and business customers by facilities-based competitors. The Commission should grant ALTS' motion.²

SWBT concedes in its application that several competing providers previously requested access. SWBT's application includes evidence of multiple providers who requested agreements prior to January 11, 1997.⁴ As a result, Track B is plainly inapplicable.

SWBT claims that Track B applies if no requesting provider has met all of the requirements of § 271(c)(1)(A) is inconsistent with the statutory language. Section 271(c)(1)(A) requires the existence of a predominantly facilities based provider of residential and business service to which the BOC is "providing access and interconnection" pursuant to "one or more binding agreements." If 271 exists to ensure local competition rules are implemented correctly,

MCI will further elaborate on its analysis of Track A and Track B in its Comments on the above-captioned application on May 1, 1997.

³ § 271(c)(1)(B).

See SWBT 271 Application pp. 4-5 & n.3 and Appendix III.

SWBT's reading eviscerates the power of Track A to insure implementation. If Track B applies only when no provider meeting these requirements requests access and interconnection, then Track B would apply only when no facilities based provider that already has an access and interconnection agreement requests such an agreement. This is simply nonsensical.

SWBT's interpretation is also inconsistent with the purpose of the Act. Track A's requirements that checklist items be "provid[ed]" and "fully implemented" ensure that, at a minimum, the BOC has opened its bottleneck network to local competition before it may compete in downstream markets.⁵ Track B's requirements, in contrast, provide less assurance of the openness of the local market, and exist only to prevent a BOC from forever being held out of in-region long distance due to the absence of a request for an interconnection agreement.

Congress adopted the limited exception of Track B because it was concerned that potential competitors might "game" Track A by collectively deciding not to compete with a BOC for local business. Track B is triggered by proof of three specified acts by BOC competitors (failure to request access agreements; failure to negotiate in good faith; and failure to timely implement an agreement). SWBT has not alleged that any of the three specified conditions is present here. SWBT must therefore rely on Track A, although, as MCI has mentioned above, there appears to be no carrier that provides residential service in Oklahoma. MCI will further elaborate in its Comments on the above-captioned application on May 1, 1997 that SWBT has not satisfied the requirements of Track A, for these and other reasons.

⁵ §§ 271(c)(2)(B); 271(d)(3)(i).

Respectfully submitted,

Susan Jin Davis

Attorneys for: MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 887-2551

DATED: April 28, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sylvia Chukwuocha, do hereby certify that the foregoing "REPLY COMMENTS OF MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS" was served this 28th day of April, 1997, by hand delivery or first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon each of the following persons:

Regina Keeney*
Chief, Common Carrier
Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 500
Washington, DC 20554

Larry Atlas*
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 500
Washington, DC 20554

Brent Olson*
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 544
Washington, DC 20554

Richard Metzger*
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Richard K. Welch*
Chief, Policy & Planning
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 544
Washington, DC 20554

Melissa Waksman*
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 544
Washington, DC 20554

Don Russell U.S. Department of Justice 555 4th Street, N.W. Room 8104 Washington, DC 20001

James D. Ellis
Paul K. Mancini
SBC Communications
One Bell Center
St. Louis, MO 63101

Carol Mattey*
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 544
Washington, DC 20554

Michael K. Kellogg Austin C. Schlick Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 1000 West Washington, DC 20005 Todd F. Silbergeld SBC Communications Inc. 1401 I Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

Richard H. Juhnke Sprint Communications 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036

John C. Shapleigh Brooks Fiber Properties 425 Woods Mill Road South Suite 300 Town and Country, MO 63017

Mark C. Rosenblum AT&T Corp. 295 North Maple Avenue Room 234511 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

ITS Inc.*
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 246
Washington, DC 20554

Hon. Reed E. Hundt*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

Hon. James H. Quello*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 802
Washington, DC 20554

Hon. Rachelle B. Chong*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 844
Washington, DC 20554

Hon. Susan Ness*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

Daniel Gonzalez*
Office of Commissioner Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 844
Washington, DC 20554

Tom Boasberg*
Office of Chairman Hundt
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

James Casserly*
Office of Commissioner Ness
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

James Coltharp*
Office of Commissioner Quello
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 802
Washington, DC 20554

J. Manning Lee Teleport Communications Group, Inc. 1 Teleport Drive Suite 301 Staten Island, NY 10311-1011

Sylvia Chukuwocha

*Hand Delivery